

10-26-2010

Minutes, Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee Meeting, Tuesday, October 26, 2010

Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_ps

Recommended Citation

Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee, "Minutes, Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee Meeting, Tuesday, October 26, 2010" (2010). *Professional Standards Committee Minutes*. Paper 57.
http://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_ps/57

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences Minutes and Reports at Rollins Scholarship Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Professional Standards Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of Rollins Scholarship Online. For more information, please contact wzhang@rollins.edu.

**PSC Meeting
Minutes: October 26, 2010**

Attendance:

- Members: Claire Strom, Dorothy Mays, Steven St. John, David Charles, Richard James, Marc Fetscherin, Emily Russell, Joshua Almond and Carlee Hoffman
- Dean of Faculty Representative: Interim Dean Deb Wellman

Meeting Convened: 7:33

Announcements:

- Approval of minutes for 10/5 and 10/19: Emily moved. David seconded.
 - Debate about the inclusion/omission of names. Marc inquired as to why the names from last week's meetings were omitted from the specifics of the minutes. Claire indicated that names are never included when it comes to debate on the awarding of grants in an effort to protect the anonymity of junior members and provide an open forum for debate. Dorothy, David, and Emily voiced similar opinions. Marc pointed out that, at the time, we weren't debating on whether or not to award any grants but, instead, were debating appeals to accept grants for consideration and felt that names should have been included. David indicated that a debate on the appeals was, in many ways, an extension of the debate to award or deny grants and should be treated in a similar manner. Debate concluded and a vote on the minutes passed.

New Business:

- Dates For T&P (see attached)**
 - Why not take PTR out? Seems like a different process.
 - Debated Notification dates
 - Debate focused around balancing timing between Dean, FEC, CEC and candidate needs. How much time does CEC need to prepare materials? When does the Dean begin his/her portion of the process? Since FEC is on a tighter schedule.
 - Significant debate around submission of CEC letter of evaluation. Consideration for tenure and promotion letters due 10/1 and promotion to full on 11/1.
 - Candidate may file response
 - Committee was able to revise the first four dates of the tenure & promotion schedule. The remaining changes were tabled until the next meeting.

Adjourn 8:32am