

1-29-2019

## Minutes, Faculty Affairs Committee Meeting, Tuesday, January 29, 2019

Faculty Affairs Committee  
*College of Liberal Arts, Rollins College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarship.rollins.edu/as\\_fa](https://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_fa)

---

### Recommended Citation

Faculty Affairs Committee, "Minutes, Faculty Affairs Committee Meeting, Tuesday, January 29, 2019" (2019). *Faculty Affairs Committee Minutes*. 46.  
[https://scholarship.rollins.edu/as\\_fa/46](https://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_fa/46)

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Liberal Arts Minutes and Reports at Rollins Scholarship Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Affairs Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of Rollins Scholarship Online. For more information, please contact [rwalton@rollins.edu](mailto:rwalton@rollins.edu).

**Faculty Affairs Committee  
Approved Minutes for Jan. 29, 2019  
Grant Review Meeting  
(Critchfield, Ashforth, and Development Grants)**

Members in Attendance:

Shan-Estelle Brown, 2017 – 2019, Social Science Rep  
David Caban, 2018 – 2020, At-Large Rep  
Chris Fuse, 2017 – 2019, Chairperson  
John Grau, 2018 – 2020, Expressive Arts Rep  
Ben Hudson, 2018 – 2020, Humanities Rep  
Jill Jones, 2018-2020, At-Large Rep  
Emily Nodine, 2017-2019, Science Division Rep  
Ted Gournelos, 2018 – 2020, Social Sciences – Applied Rep

12:31 Meeting called to Order  
Secretary: Ben Hudson

Chair: Motion to Approve and Fully Fund all Grants with Scores of 24 and above—from individual evaluations using a 1-3 point score with 3 as the highest rating for each member's evaluation of the grants.  
Seconded and Passed

General Grant Review

- Concerns about proposals involving human subjects without IRB approval. The Grant Proposal Application Guidelines for Rollins Faculty state very clearly that the Committee will not review proposals without IRB approval.
- Concerns about consistent recipients using grants year after year for annual research. Is this a sustainable practice for a faculty of 200?
- Concerns about blind proposals with such a small faculty—and ease of identification of faculty who issue grant proposals. What's the point? Is "blindness" a hollow requirement?
- Some concerns about proposals with non-specific itemization of costs and overestimation of expenses.
- Some concerns about exploratory projects with unspecific research questions and clearly articulated methodologies.
- Questions about funding workshops, distinguished from formal conference presentations.

Adjourned.