Jenny Queen called the meeting to order.


2. Announcements
   a. Student Employment Office

   Steve Neilson is in charge of a new student employment initiative on campus. He distributed a document on revising the student employment system on campus which involves all on-campus employment office. He headed a task force which created two goals: developing an efficient student employment management system and create a meaningful work experience with clear student learning objectives. The task force would like to recreate the current system so that students have to apply for positions and make it a more “real world” experience. Rollins does not have a single site or destination where students can go to see what jobs are available. An office is to be created that would coordinate the position postings, application process, selection, as well as payroll for students employed campus. Supervisors would evaluate student work and they would need training in order to do it well. The program is scheduled to begin in the fall.

   LValdivia asked if this program would lead to more internship opportunities on campus since they require learning objectives. RRogers responded that internships are handled by Career Services which plays a lifelong role in Rollins students career development and will not be absorbed by the new office. RRogers does not think that the majority of the on campus jobs as they are currently constructed would be an internship because they are more task oriented which internships are not. DGeorgyan asked if the number of work study positions would decrease and SNeilson responded that it will depend on funding not specifically on the number of positions. JQueen restated that the goal of the program is to better coordinate the current student employment activities. SNeilson added that some of the functions that they want to implement are currently not part of student’s on-campus employment. Ultimately the new office will report to the Dean of the College.
He mentioned that International Programs has created a model program for its student employees and the new office will incorporate some of the methods they currently use. He will update the committee in the fall.

b. Campus Center Renovation Progress

SNeilson reported that the architect the committee had been in conversation with is not the architect they will be working with. The committee has done great job determining what they want in the new campus center. JQueen asked if any budget or timetable has been discussed and SNeilson said that neither has been determined or approved by Board. He hopes the architect selection will be in the next month and the scope of the project will be determined in time for the May Board of Trustees meeting. He thinks that if they meet that deadline then parts of the project will begin in summer 2013. NCastino asked if the project is on the development campaign and SNeilson said it wasn’t. ADavidson and TMoser are both on the committee and have been involved in the meeting. DGervoygan requested if it was possible to see the architecture renderings of what has been discussed. These are available electronically but are not completely up to date. JQueen inquired about the C-store location and since it and the bookstore is a duplication of services that maybe it should be part of the discussion.

c. Report on status Student Travel Policy by HIP Advisory Board

DChong distributed draft document “Recommended Policy for Student Funding” in order to centralize the process for students seeking funding for student travel. The goal is to create a more formal process with clear set guidelines for students. It has not yet been cleared by the Dean of the College and the SLC would be voting on this in the future. The HIP (Hi-Impact Practices) committee seeks to create a centralized pool of money where the money from the discretionary funds of the high level administrators can be collected. JQueen agreed with the multiple review process of the fund to ensure it is available for student travel. Discussion surrounded OSIL’s process for students seeking funding which is an established process that students need to follow through and demonstrate “give back” to the college. JQueen asked about the student-faculty summer research process to which DChong responded that it would not be affected by the new policy at this time, but that it could be reviewed once a policy was established. TMoser will discuss with Dean Joyner about getting the Dean’s office support for the policy.
SLC will vote on the policy once all the offices involved have given it their stamp of approval.
d. Food Committee Report – Julia Maskivker
There has not been an increase in hours due to kitchen constraints. The “all you can eat” program was discontinued because students were not using it. Another issue of “all you can eat” was that athletes did not find the selection sufficiently healthy.

e. Community Commitments Review Committee – JQueen
The results from student presentations have been reviewed but they will not be published until a later date by Residence life and OSIL.

f. JEden announced that sorority recruitment will be this weekend (1/26/12 Thursday – 1/29/12 Sunday) and then fraternity will be next Monday – Friday (1/30/12 – 2/3/12).

3. Old Business

a. Mapworks in RCC
JQueen discussed that there will be several faculty colloquium – too many too count in the next semester. She also said that the desire to review Mapworks is not as urgent. She fears a lack of faculty involvement in planning a colloquium on this topic. LValdivia said he would like to hear more from first year students and their response to the survey. KHater feared talking to students one semester and getting faculty/staff feedback another would provide us with inconsistent data. TMoser announced that there will be more conversation between First Year programs/Student Success, students, and peer mentors about Mapworks. The committee agreed that it will delay any discussion or plans for colloquia until the Dean’s office completes its review.

4. New Business

a. SLC membership given new structure of the college - JQueen
JQueen reviewed past bylaw amendments which addressed SLC membership. She presented two proposals about how to best go forward with SLC membership. The discussion surrounded that term “exempt staff” should be included and not “professional staff”. LValdivia suggested that one of the SGA members be a Holt student but JQueen said that may not work because Holt may not want to surrender their spot to SGA. The committee accepted the following membership proposal:

   14 voting members
6 elected from A&S faculty (3 each year for 2 year terms)

1 elected from the CPS faculty (2 year term)

2 members of exempt staff elected by members of the staff with at least one from division of Student Affairs (1 each year for 2 year term)

5 students selected by SGA (students shall be appointed at the beginning of academic year and remain for one year)

Dean of Student Affairs, ex-officio, non-voting member

Meeting adjourned at 2:00 pm.

Next Meeting: February 14, 2012, Warden Dining Hall