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Agenda/Discussion

1. Motion to approve minutes from 5/2/2017
   a. Motion to approve minutes from 5/2/2017
   b. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)

2. Sub-committee announcements and/or reports
   a. Faculty concerns about shift in academic calendar was discussed and how this affected faculty
   b. New Course has approved 1 new
   c. No other subcommittee met

3. New business
   a. Renumbering PHY 250 to PHY 300 (Chris Fuse).
      i. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)
   b. Presentation of RFLA revision timeline and expectations for the fall (Emily Russell).
      i. Focus on 2 strains with RFLA
         1. Strain on staffing
         2. Lack of choice for students
      ii. Use every other CC meeting to help develop system and plan colloquia
      iii. Develop system, present to faculty, modify based on feedback from colloquia
      iv. Ongoing process that will be in tandem with the overall assessment
      v. Large project that will developed over the fall semester
      vi. Present 1 model in November for 1 vote
      vii. Other questions to be addressed eventually
         1. Number of neighborhoods
         2. How system fits into college’s mission
         3. Re-integrate ethical reasoning
      viii. Process has aggressive schedule and scope and questions addressed will be fluid
     ix. 3 types of suggested changes/models based of previous discussions
        1. Need ethical reasoning in system
           a. Competency
           b. Reinsert
           c. Push to majors
           d. Re-imagined Health and Wellness
        2. Staffing Concerns
a. Working with data to get real numbers
b. Divisional requirement counts as neighborhood requirement
3. 4 vs 3 vs 2 vs 1 Neighborhood
c. Proposed changes to the Department of Social Entrepreneurship and Business (Tonia Warnecke and Tim Pett).
   i. Social Entrepreneurship and Business and Business Management shared many students and classes based on location of major from administration
      1. Not in students’ best interest
      2. Major has been re-worked to better suit students’ best interest
         a. Administration Separation
         b. New independent department
         c. New division – Social Sciences-Applied
         d. Benefit all programs to better serve students
         e. Change name to reflect desired outcome
         f. Change prefix from SEB to SE
         g. No minimum 2.0 GPA to matriculate
   ii. We can make recommendations for department and division changes and we can vote on changes to major/minor maps and prefixes
   iii. Answers to Questions
      1. 5 faculty lines should be sufficient for foreseeable future to support new department
      2. Business department should be able to better serve committees and other service to College
      3. Current SEB majors should be minimally affected by proposed changes
      4. SE major students cannot/will not be able to minor in business
      5. Current students would have choice between new or old map and title
      6. 17 course major is large major – as work through proposed changes kept major requirements from previous placement to limit number of the substantive changes
         a. Will look at number of courses vs AASCB accreditation based upon needs/best interest of students
         b. Question is about whether SE needs to be AASCB accredited if is no longer in Department of Business – department will reevaluate accreditation in several years
      7. How will this change affect divisional alignment?
         a. Numbers will not change drastically – 5 faculty members
      8. Can SE function if divisional realignment is denied?
         a. SE is making case for the division it belongs in based upon pedagogy
         b. Does not affect SE’s ability to serve students
      9. Could BUS courses be replaced due to separation of divisions if necessary?
         a. In preliminary talks within department to shaping capstone and these courses
   iv. Proposal to major/minor map for Social Entrepreneurship and Business
      1. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)
   v. Proposal to change the name of major of Social Entrepreneurship and Business (SEB) to Social Entrepreneurship (SE)
      1. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)
   vi. Recommendation for the establishment of SE as new department
      1. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)
   vii. Recommendation for the realignment of SE into the Social Sciences-Applied Division
      1. DECISION: APPROVED (11-0-0)
d. Discussion on number of courses per major. How to justify the number of courses for major with regard to changes to major maps and faculty lines. Look into both a minimum and maximum number of courses per major.
   i. Course numbers actually reflects the true number of credits/courses that are required despite what is on map – Tiffany Griffin
   ii. DATA is LEGIT
4. Old Business (none)
5. Adjourn
   a. Motion to adjourn