

9-1-2015

Minutes, Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee Meeting, Tuesday, September 1, 2015

Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_ps

Recommended Citation

Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee, "Minutes, Arts & Sciences Professional Standards Committee Meeting, Tuesday, September 1, 2015" (2015). *Professional Standards Committee Minutes*. Paper 119.
http://scholarship.rollins.edu/as_ps/119

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences Minutes and Reports at Rollins Scholarship Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Professional Standards Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of Rollins Scholarship Online. For more information, please contact rwalton@rollins.edu.

Approved Meeting Minutes for September 1, 2015

Meeting of Professional Standards Committee

12:30 -1:45 P.M. in Bush 123

Committee Members Terms and Affiliation

Rosanna Diaz, 2014 – 2016, Humanities Rep

Fiona Harper, 2014 – 2016 Science rep

Anne Murdaugh, 2014-2016, at Large Rep

Eren Tatari, 2014-2016, at Large Rep

Anne Stone, 2014 – 2016 CPS

Susan Montgomery, 2015 – 2017, Expressive Arts rep

Eric Smaw, 2015 – 2017, at Large rep

Stacey Dunn 2015-2017 at Large rep

Nathan Juhos 2015-2016, SGA rep

Committee Members in Attendance

Rosana Diaz-Zambrana, Fiona Harper, Amy McClure, Susan

Montgomery, Anne Murdaugh, Eric Smaw, Eren Tatari, Anne Stone,

Stacey Dunn

Jonathan Miller and Rachel Walton were in attendance as well.

- I. Call to order: 12.32**
- II. Approval of Minutes from 04/21/2015: Approved.**
- III. Old Business – None**
- IV. New Business**
 - a. Faculty scholarship submissions online
 - i. Jonathan Miller informed the PSC of some changes/issues with the open access policy: significant procedural changes in how faculty publications are accepted to Rollins scholarship online system.

- ii. Rachel Walton is the administrator of the Rollins archives. The current way publications are accepted are 'conservative': faculty give access to peer-reviewed publications. Library abides by the original publishers' rules on when to make these accessible. Within the last 6 months, many publishers want to push back on open-access university sites (Rollins is too small so was not looked at). Larger institutions sought legal advice about whether they should follow the publishers' requirements. The legal opinion was no. The contract the faculty member has with the college predates the contract they have with the publisher. Harvard announced they have the right to post post-print copy, manuscript version after peer-review before type-setting on open-access online system. If the institution has an open-access system, that is a preexisting contract.
- iii. Any faculty member has the right to seek a waiver

b. Online Grant Process and FYRST Grants Review:

- i. Anne Murdaugh: New PSC policy for the Critchfield/Ashforth Grants to be blind, Karla Knight has to do 12 hours of work. Over summer 2015, the grant submission process was uploaded online. So now the electronic application system will generate reports for PSC to review without personal information. It will enable the applicant to enter previous funding amounts and automatically see how much they are still eligible for, if any. Online applications will be then uploaded to Blackboard (and PSC members can access the applications on Blackboard to review).
- ii. Fiona Harper suggested that the previous funding amounts be verified by Karla Knight.
- iii. Eren Tatari suggested we should have a more integrated online system where the additional files can be submitted online as well instead of emailing them separately to Karla. Anne Murdaugh replied it is not possible yet.

- iv. PSC will review the FYRST grants October 6th (Karla needs to generate the final grant applications by October 1st and upload on Blackboard) so PSC members can review them before the October 6th meeting.
- c. CIEs
- i. Ethical breach question changed on CIEs as requested by PSC Spring 2015.
 - ii. Low response rate: PSC will wait 3 semesters to evaluate the response rates under the new CIE policy (reminding students only 3 times).
 - iii. Chairs meeting the question whether CIEs for CPS and A&S should be separated came up (Joan Davison). PSC decided to wait what President Cornwell will do about A&S and CPS structure to address the question raised.
- d. Internationalization Committees:
- i. Faculty Internationalization Committee (RIG: Rollins Internationalization Grants)
 - 1. By-Laws state that PSC reviews all internal grants, therefore PSC should review RIG grants as well.
 - 2. Fiona Harper said that Diversity Grant (for faculty) may fall under this. She recommended that for all faculty grants PSC should follow the model of Student Faculty Collaborative Research program, where the respective committee reviews the applications, make suggestions/submit a report to PSC to be approved.
 - 3. Eric Smaw suggested that current chair of Faculty Internationalization Committee Dan Chong be invited to a PSC meeting to discuss the issue.
 - 4. Fiona Harper suggested the PSC first review the current RIG application and to institute a deadline for RIG submission so PSC can review them all at once, standardize them.

- ii. Internationalization Committee (students traveling abroad and faculty field studies): Last year upon PSCs suggestion recruitment of members to the committee was formalized (voted by all Faculty).
- iii. Anne Stone: CPS field study application went through CPS' curriculum committee.
- iv. Eric: Does A&S AAC review field study applications? It approves study abroad programs. Does any body approve individual student's requests to study abroad?
- v. Eric: Should this committee be under AAC? Anca Voicu (chair of AAC) has been asked (waiting on an answer from her).

V. Meeting Adjourned at 1.51